



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

but a single insect, and the commissioners were very solicitous and also sceptical as to its ability to rapidly destroy the red scale. Furthermore, they questioned whether the chalcid would destroy the true red scale, as they did not believe that the scale on the orchards mentioned was identical with that about Orange. The *Vedalia* has brought the people a simple, rapid, and effective remedy for the white scale, and the commission was very solicitous lest the people should give up the use of washes for the red scale, and wait for the spread of the chalcid parasite. If the parasite should multiply but slowly, which seems probable, the red scale would be enabled to spread and do great harm before overtaken. It is of the highest importance, at this time, that a constant fight against this scale should be made; and there should be no halting, even if imperfect means of holding the pest in check are only at hand.

Professor Henry carefully examined the experiments conducted by Mr. Coquille with resin washes, and considers that he has used excellent judgment in the manner in which he has conducted them, and thinks he plans his spraying experiments carefully and with good judgment, and carries them through with thoroughness to the end.

It seems of the highest importance that experiments with washes be prosecuted, and that the great advance of the last year be followed up vigorously. With the resin washes for the red scale, and the *Vedalia* for the white scale, the citrus industry will again move forward, and people have the confidence in it of former days.

CAUSATION OF HOG-CHOLERA.

INVESTIGATIONS of the epizootic diseases of swine, occurring in the neighborhood of Baltimore, have been made by Professor William H. Welch, M.D., with the co-operation of A. W. Clement, V.S., and F. L. Russell, V.S., in the Pathological Laboratory of the Johns Hopkins University during the past two years. They examined about fifty hogs, from six herds, affected with hog-cholera, as well as several isolated cases. Only a summary of the most important results will be given here, a fuller report being in preparation for the volume of studies from the Pathological Laboratory, to be issued by the Johns Hopkins Hospital.

The most common and characteristic lesions, as given in the *Johns Hopkins Bulletin* for December, consisted in superficial and deep necroses, either circumscribed or diffuse, of the inflamed mucous and other coats of the large intestine, associated often with superficial branny diphtheritic exudation. Similar necroses were occasionally found in the stomach and small intestine, in the mouth, palate, and epiglottis, and less frequently in the gall-bladder, bile-ducts, and preputial sac. Some form of pneumonia was usually, although not constantly, present. In a few cases pneumonia was present without intestinal lesions; more frequently intestinal lesions were observed with little or no pneumonia. Strongyles in the bronchi were rarely missed. Bronchitis was the rule. Pleurisy was common; pericarditis and peritonitis were present in the minority of cases. Redness of the skin was common, but inconstant. The subcutaneous, mediastinal, and abdominal lymph-glands were usually swollen and reddened, chiefly in the periphery. The spleen was often normal, but in many cases was moderately and sometimes extremely swollen. The kidneys were either normal or the seat of hemorrhages and of parenchymatous degeneration or nephritis. The liver was often normal, but sometimes it presented necrotic areas. Ecchymoses were often observed in the gastric and intestinal mucosa and beneath the epi- and endocardium. In some cases all of the organs of the body were studded with small hemorrhages.

The bacteriological examination consisted in the study of cover-glass preparations from the different parts of the body; in the inoculation of animals, either white mice or rabbits, with parts of the lung, spleen, liver, intestine, and sometimes other organs; and in the preparation of Esmarch roll cultures, usually of agar, from the blood, intestinal contents, and all of the principal organs of the body.

Of the bacteria isolated in pure culture and observed in microscopical preparations of the tissues, only two species were sufficiently common or had such distribution as to suggest an etiological

relation to the disease. These are the so-called hog-cholera bacillus and the swine-plague bacillus; the former first described in the "Report of the Bureau of Animal Industry for 1885" as the bacterium of swine-plague, and in the report for 1886 as the bacterium of hog-cholera, — a change of nomenclature due to the detection in certain diseased swine in this country of the latter organism, which now received the name of the "bacterium of swine-plague," as it was believed to be identical with the micro-organism previously described by Löffler and by Schütz as the specific cause of Schweine-Seuche in Germany.

The bacilli of hog-cholera are short rods with rounded ends, averaging $1\mu - 2\mu$ in length and about 0.6μ in breadth, but forms both longer and shorter than these measurements may occur. They are very actively motile. They grow readily on all of the ordinary culture media, and best at temperatures between 30° and 38° C. They do not liquefy gelatine. The growth on gelatine and on agar has a grayish or whitish color, often with a bluish translucence. Bouillon cultures present a diffuse cloudiness with whitish sediment and without surface membrane. The growth on potato assumes generally a brownish or yellowish tint, but it may be white, and sometimes is indistinct, although microscopically the growth is abundant. The bacilli are killed by exposure for ten minutes to a temperature of 58° C. In cover-glass preparations from the fresh juices and tissues of animals dead of hog-cholera, the bacilli stain readily, and for the most part uniformly, with aniline-oil gentian-violet. If the stained specimen be treated with acetic acid, many of the bacilli appear with clear centre and stained margin, which may be either uniform or slightly thicker at the poles, as described in the reports of the Bureau of Animal Industry. Some may present a typical polar staining, but they are not regarded as good polar staining bacilli, like those of swine-plague. Various irregularities in staining appear in old cultures.

The hog-cholera bacilli are pathogenic for rabbits, mice, guinea-pigs, and pigeons. Only the experiments with rabbits will be described here. These animals, when inoculated subcutaneously with a platinum loop from a pure culture of hog-cholera bacilli, die usually in from six to eight days, but the duration of life may be shorter or longer. There is generally considerable dry purulent infiltration at the seat of inoculation; the subcutaneous lymphatic glands on the same side are enlarged, and often present necrotic foci; the spleen is swollen, as a rule extremely, and of a dark red color and firm consistence; the liver generally presents yellowish-white streaks and dots; the heart-muscle is fatty; and in some cases ecchymoses, necrotic patches, and diphtheritic exudation may be found in the intestinal mucosa. The bacilli, which often occur in clumps, are found most abundantly at the seat of inoculation, in the affected lymph-glands, the spleen, and the liver, and are often so scanty in the blood as to escape detection by microscopical examination. The statements in the reports of the Bureau of Animal Industry of the effects of these bacilli when inoculated in pigeons have been confirmed by Professor Welch.

The swine-plague bacilli are shorter than the hog-cholera bacilli. Measuring on the average 0.8 to 1.4μ in length, they may be very small, and present the appearance of slightly oval bodies, more like cocci than bacilli; or, on the other hand, they may present themselves as rods of considerable length. In appearance and other properties, they belong to the same group of organisms as the well-known bacteria of chicken-cholera and of rabbit septicæmia. They are devoid of independent motion. They grow on the ordinary culture media, with the exception of potato, but at ordinary temperatures the growth is less rapid and abundant than that of the hog-cholera bacilli. They do not liquefy gelatine. On gelatine and agar the growth is grayish, translucent, not extending far from the point of inoculation. Bouillon cultures are sometimes diffusely cloudy; but more frequently the growth is in the form of a whitish, rather viscid sediment, or in little specks, with clear fluid. When planted on potato, there may be a feeble invisible growth for one or two generations, probably due to the transference of a little nutritive medium to the potato with the organisms. We have not been able to cultivate them for several generations upon potato. They are killed at a temperature slightly lower than that destructive to hog-cholera bacilli, and their vitality in cultures is much shorter than that of hog-cholera bacilli. In cover-glass prepara-

tions from the fresh juices and tissues of animals dead of swine-plague inoculations, the bacilli present an exquisite and typical polar staining, unless the forms are very short, when the staining is uniform. They are pathogenic for rabbits, mice, guinea-pigs, pigeons, and bats. Two degrees of virulence in this organism have been met. The one kind kills rabbits in from sixteen to thirty hours, with enormous multiplication of the bacilli in the blood and organs: the other kind destroys life in from two to six days, occasionally longer, with extensive purulent and serous infiltration at the seat of inoculation, often with peritonitis, and with frequently few bacteria in the blood and organs, but an immense number in the inflammatory exudates.

Regarding the distribution in the diseased hog of these two species of bacteria, great variety exists, which cannot be fully described in this short communication. In some cases the hog-cholera bacilli have been found abundantly in the blood, intestine, and all of the organs: in other cases they have been present only in certain parts, most frequently the spleen and liver, and absent in other parts. They may be absent from the spleen when abundant elsewhere, as in the kidney.

The swine-plague bacilli, when present, likewise vary in different cases in their distribution. They are most frequently found in hepatized areas in the lungs, but they may also exist in the intestine, the blood, and various organs.

As regards the frequency with which each of these organisms has been found in the diseased hogs, the following groups of cases have been met: first, herds of diseased swine, in which only the hog-cholera bacillus has been found; second, herds in which only the swine-plague bacillus was present; third, herds in which both the hog-cholera bacillus and the swine-plague bacillus were present in the same animal, or the hog-cholera bacillus in some animals and the swine-plague bacillus in others of the same herd. A few, chiefly scattered cases, in which neither the hog-cholera nor the swine-plague bacillus was found, were met.

Professor Welch and his co-workers have not been able to establish any constant anatomical differences between the cases in which the swine-plague bacilli alone were present and those in which only hog-cholera bacilli or both organisms were found. While they have frequently found only the swine-plague bacilli in extensive hepatized areas in the lungs, they have also sometimes found the hog-cholera bacilli alone in apparently similar pneumonias. They have not met any epizootic corresponding to the German Schweine-Seuche in which pneumonia existed in any large number of cases without intestinal lesions.

With these results, they naturally looked with especial interest to the effects of inoculation of healthy hogs with pure cultures of each of these organisms. The most stringent precautions were taken in the selection and care of the experimental hogs.

Two hogs, weighing about 75 pounds, not subjected to any preliminary treatment, were fed each 225 cubic centimetres of bouillon culture of hog-cholera bacilli. The one died in four and the other in eight and a half days with extensive diphtheritic inflammation and superficial circumscribed necroses of the large intestine, with moderate swelling of the spleen and of the lymphatic glands, and with ecchymoses in the lungs and elsewhere. Strongyles were present in the bronchi, but there was no pneumonia. Hog-cholera bacilli were found in abundance in the blood, intestine, and organs. In a third hog 6.5 cubic centimetres of the same bouillon culture were injected with antiseptic precautions into the duodenum. Death occurred in seven days with the same lesions as in the preceding hogs. Two hogs exposed in the same pen with the first hog were sick for a number of days, and gradually recovered. These, when killed, presented undoubted evidence of the previous existence of acute diphtheritic inflammation of the large intestine.

The injection into the thigh and into the lung of 5 cubic centimetres of the same bouillon culture in two other hogs produced only localized sloughs with slight constitutional disturbance. The hogs were killed at the end of five weeks, and hog-cholera bacilli were found alive in the sloughs, but none elsewhere in the body.

The injection into the right lung of a pig of 8 cubic centimetres of a pure bouillon culture of swine-plague bacilli was followed in from forty-eight to sixty hours by death with extensive pneumonia,

double fibrinous pleurisy, pericarditis, and peritonitis, and with very abundant swine-plague bacilli in the exudates, the blood, and the organs. Intestinal lesions were absent. The injection of 0.5 of a cubic centimetre of bouillon culture of swine-plague bacilli into each lung of another pig was followed by great rapidity and difficulty of respiration, and coughing. The animal was killed at the end of a week. Double sero-fibrinous pleurisy and pericarditis and foci of pneumonia were found. The swine-plague bacilli were present in abundance. The injection of pure cultures of swine-plague bacilli with a fine hypodermic needle into the peritoneal cavity was not followed by any manifest effects; but in two cases in which laparotomy was performed with antiseptic precautions, and pure cultures of swine-plague bacilli (6.5 cubic centimetres) were injected into the duodenum, the animals died in from sixteen to thirty hours with acute diffuse peritonitis, pleurisy, and pericarditis, and an enormous number of swine-plague bacteria in the exudates, blood, and organs, but without intestinal lesions. Doubtless some of the culture escaped into the peritoneal cavity. Subcutaneous inoculations in two cases, and feeding in four cases, of swine-plague cultures, produced no lesions, save localized abscesses and sloughs after the injections.

It is evident from these experiments that both the hog-cholera bacilli and the swine-plague bacilli are pathogenic for swine; that the former, when fed or injected into the duodenum, even in comparatively small quantity, are capable of producing intense diphtheritic inflammation and necrosis of the large intestine with general infection, and the latter, when injected into the thoracic cavity or into the injured peritoneal cavity, of causing pneumonia and inflammation of serous membranes.

If, as seems probable from these observations and experiments, the hog-cholera bacilli are to be regarded as the cause of hog-cholera, at least of the intestinal lesions, how is the failure to find these bacilli in a number of cases of the disease to be explained? A number of possibilities suggest themselves. First, the bacilli may be confined to the intestine, and mixed with so many other bacteria that it is difficult or impossible to isolate them. Their morphology and the appearance of their colonies are so little characteristic, that this might readily happen. That this, however, cannot always be the explanation, is evident from the fact that in several instances rabbits inoculated with typical necrotic buttons have survived, and cultures and inoculations from other organs have failed to reveal the bacilli of hog-cholera. Second, the bacilli may be confined to the intestine, and so modified that they fail to kill rabbits when inoculated subcutaneously. These bacilli appear to vary somewhat in their virulence, and the possibility suggested cannot at present be disproven. Third, as in cases of typhoid-fever and croupous pneumonia in human beings, the specific bacilli may disappear in the later stages of the disease. This explanation, which is suggested in the reports of the Bureau of Animal Industry, seems probable, but, as already mentioned, the investigators have not been able to distinguish anatomically cases in which hog-cholera bacilli could not be detected from some of those in which they were present.

It is not clear to them what rôle is to be assigned to the swine-plague bacilli in the natural infections which they have studied. The facts that experimentally the swine-plague bacillus is capable of causing extensive pneumonia and inflammations of serous membranes, and that epizootics occur in swine in Germany with these as the predominant lesions without intestinal disease, suggest that this organism, which is apparently identical with that of the German Schweine-Seuche, is also the cause of a similar affection in this country. They are not, however, aware that any swine epizootic of pneumonia without any intestinal lesions, and with the sole presence of the swine-plague bacillus, has been observed in this country, although cases of this description occur scattered in epizootics of hog-cholera with intestinal lesions. Until such an epizootic is observed in this country, it is not likely that the question will be thoroughly elucidated as to the rôle of the swine-plague bacilli. It is possible that the swine-plague bacilli are frequently present in the mouth, the air-passages, or the intestine of healthy hogs, analogous to the frequent presence of the micrococcus of sputum-septicæmia and of pneumonia in the mouth of human beings, and that in the mixed infections which have been observed

the widespread diffusion of the swine-plague bacilli is due to secondary invasion following infection with the hog-cholera bacilli. This, however, does not remove the grave significance of the swine-plague bacilli, which certainly cannot be ignored in the studies in this country of the diseases known, as hog-cholera or swine-plague.

While differing in some points from the conclusions reached by the workers on this subject in the Bureau of Animal Industry, great pleasure is taken in recording the essential harmony of the observations here made with the facts which they have observed in their painstaking and creditable investigations of this difficult subject as reported since the year 1885.

Through the kindness of Dr. F. S. Billings, Professor Welch has had the opportunity of examining a number of cultures from diseased swine in Nebraska, chiefly direct cultures from the spleen. These in nearly all instances were pure cultures of the hog-cholera bacillus. Much confusion has resulted from Dr. Billings's attempt to identify this organism with that of *Schweine-Seuche*.

The former has had the opportunity of examining cultures of *Schweine-Seuche* and also of the Scandinavian swine-pest, obtained from the Hygienic Institute in Berlin. The organism in *Schweine-Seuche* cultures is apparently identical with the swine-plague bacillus which he has isolated. The organism in the swine-pest cultures is a different species of bacillus, and appears to resemble closely, if it is not identical with, the hog-cholera bacillus.

It is regarded of importance that the future study of swine affected with hog-cholera or swine-plague should be accompanied with a more thorough bacteriological examination of each case than has hitherto been customary. The mere production of a direct stab-culture from one organ, such as the spleen, or the mere inoculation of an animal with material from one organ, affords very incomplete and unsatisfactory information. So long as the relations of the two organisms—the hog-cholera bacillus and the swine-plague bacillus—to the diseases of swine are not thoroughly clear, it seems necessary to make Esmarch or plate cultures from the blood, the intestine, and the principal organs of the body, and also to inoculate animals with material from the lungs, spleen, intestine, etc. A single case thoroughly investigated according to modern bacteriological methods is of more value than many cases in which only stab-cultures have been made from one or two organs, or in which reliance is placed solely on the results of inoculating animals. Little reliance can be placed upon the results of experimental inoculations of swine with the suspected organisms of hog-cholera and of swine-plague in regions where the disease prevails, unless very strict precautions are taken in the selection and care of the experimental animals.

RUMINATION IN THE HUMAN SUBJECT.

IN the *London Medical Recorder* for Nov. 20, 1889, Dr. Ireland summarizes the contents of a paper on this curious phenomenon by Dr. Sievers in the *Finska Läkarsällskapets Handlingar*, No. 5, 1889.

This author first gives a *résumé* of the different opinions upon rumination since 1618 (when Fabricius ab Aquapendente published the first case of this affection) until the present time. He recalls that since the appearance of the classical work by Adrien Dumur on the "Paralysis of the Cardiac Orifice or Merycism," the most recent authors see in this affection a nervous moving of the stomach accompanied by more or less diminution of the tone of the cardiac orifice. He thinks, however, that the true nature of rumination has not yet been thoroughly studied. Like Johannessen, to whom we owe the most detailed examination of this subject, Dr. Sievers says, that, before drawing any conclusion, the details should be more minutely studied. But while the researches already made do not explain satisfactorily the nature of rumination, they furnish us with very important facts for the therapeutic treatment.

Dr. Sievers publishes three cases of rumination which he observed in private practice at Helsingfors. Besides these, so far as he knows, there are only three other cases of rumination mentioned in Scandinavia, and reported by Johannessen in *Zeitsch. für klin. Medicin*, Bänder X. and XII. In the first case described, the patient, aged twenty-seven, who had been a governess and sick-nurse, belonged to a very nervous family, though none of them suffered

from insanity or any other grave disorder. She had previously enjoyed good health. She always ate very quickly, and did not properly masticate her food. It is now ten years since she commenced to ruminate her food, after a sea-voyage lasting from three to four days, during which time she had not defecated, owing to want of convenience. Five, ten, or thirty minutes after eating, the food is collected in little balls in the mouth in order to be subjected to a second mastication. The patient seems quite at ease during rumination. After an ordinary dinner the rumination lasts from an hour and a half to two hours. If she moves about, or even if she is disturbed, rumination begins sooner, and is more active. Trying to restrain the process brings on such distress that the patient is compelled immediately to give in. During rumination she prefers to be seated. She leans forward, and at every mouthful which returns she lowers her head.

On scrutinizing the abdomen during the act of ruminating, one notices a dimple-like depression under the ribs. This is accompanied by an uneasy sensation passing from right to left. This does not extend farther than about the cardiac orifice. The patient feels a slight shock, and the food returns to the mouth. The stomach was found to be moderately distended with air. There was no retardation of digestion, and no excessive secretion of gastric juice; but there was found to be unusual acidity of the contents of the stomach, owing to the increased production of hydrochloric acid. No lactic acid could be detected. For this patient Dr. Sievers prescribed a teaspoonful of Carlsbad salts before dinner and supper, and a teaspoonful of bicarbonate of soda after each meal. The diet was to consist of milk, eggs, meat, and a very little bread. Under this treatment there was a gradual improvement; and at the end of five weeks the rumination had entirely ceased, nor did it return after she had discontinued using the alkalies.

The second case was a priest sixty years old. He had always ruminated. His father, now eighty-eight, did the same. The process commenced after a meal, and lasted from two to three hours. He never tried to stop it, and does not think he could, as it goes on independently of his will. He did not desire medical treatment with a view to remove it.

The third case was a Jewess, thirty-five years old, of a highly neurotic family. Her father also ruminated; and one brother out of the family of nine occasionally did the same. She herself has ruminated from childhood. The food returns of itself. The act causes her no uneasiness, which would not be the case if she tried to resist it. She did not desire medical treatment. The contents of the stomach were found to be very acid.

In *La Psychiatria* (Fasc. III.-IV.) there is a paper on "Rumination," by Dr. Cantarano. He had opportunities of studying this affection in four idiots, two imbeciles, and three patients deeply demented. No uneasiness seems in these cases to have followed the process. Dr. Sievers, among other contributions to this curious subject, refers to the papers of Alt (*Berl. klin. Wochensh.*, 1888, Nos. 26 and 27) and of Boas (No. 31 same journal); and in the *Archives de Neurologie* (VII. 1884) the reader will find an interesting paper on "Merycism," by Drs. Bourneville and Séglas.

HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT.

IN an address on highway improvement delivered before the Carriage Builders' National Association at Syracuse, N.Y., recently, Col. Albert A. Pope of Boston said that the best roads in the world to-day are those of England, France, and Germany, their excellence being due to the fact that those countries were the first to awaken from the long sleep of the dark ages, and that the growing rivalry between them necessitated attention to their roads, for the proper prosecution of both their military and their mercantile interests. In each country the roads early came under the national supervision, the results of which are seen in the most splendid highways in existence, costing the least to maintain, and in every way the most satisfactory and economical for those who use them.

No country has a greater road mileage in proportion to the population than the United States, according to Col. Pope; but while, with characteristic American push and hurry, the more extensive means of communication and intercourse have been provided, we